# Failure Prediction and Fault Localization in Cloud Environment

#### Cristina Monni

#### Joint work with: Leonardo Mariani, Mauro Pezzè, Oliviero Riganelli, Rui Xin





## **Cloud Computing**



1 Application - 1 Server

Multiple Virtual Server



#### **Proactive Fault Management**



Goal	1		Auto	Fingerprin mated Classifi	nting the Datace cation of Perfor	enter: rmance Cri	ses		
1	<i>۲</i>		Peter Bodík	Ν	loises Goldszmidt	Arm	ando Fox		
Precision		Signa app	nture- proac	based hes		•••••	•••••	$(\mathcal{P})$	
	Capturing	g, Indexing, Cl System	ustering, ar History	nd Retrieving	1		7		
	Ira Coher	<sup>1</sup> Steve	Zhang <sup>2</sup>	Moises Goldszmidt <sup>1</sup>				•	
								•	
			PREPAR Prevent	E: Predictive tion for Virtu	Predictive Performance Anomaly for Virtualized Cloud Systems		Anor	naly-base	ed
		Tonghini Tan, Thep Teguyen, Z					app	oroaches	;
				UBL: U Perform	UBL: Unsupervised Behavior Learning for Predicting Performance Anomalies in Virtualized Cloud Systems				
					Daniel J. Dea	an, Hiep Nguyen,	Xiaohui Gu		
		Er	ror					Effectiver	IESS
		Sta	ate		Failure Prediction	Fa Loca	ault ization	Fault Fixing	

Goal 2	2 Ensembles of Models for Automated Diagnosis of System Performance Problems Steve Zhang <sup>1</sup> , Ira Cohen, Moises Goldszmidt, Julie Symons,
Precision	Armando Fox Probabilistic approaches Scalable Near Real-Time Failure Localization tofi Dataervic Center Networks urgent conditions re urgent conditions re Augn intel Bayesian Networks or TAN models are
	Herodotodu herohero@microsoft.com bolin.ding@microsoft.com bolin.di
Er Sta	ror ate Failure Fault Fault Prediction Localization Fixing





#### **Failure Prediction**





#### Causality graph

- Built from the baseline model with machine learning
- Vertices: (metric, resource)
- Edges: correlations (Granger causality)
- Edges labels: weight probabilities

KPI =	(metric,	resource)	
-------	----------	-----------	--

vertex	KPI	
		_
1	$\langle AvgReqQueueLength, bono \rangle$	
2	$\langle AvgWaitTime, bono \rangle$	
3	$\langle Bonoconnected clients.0, bono \rangle$	
4	$\langle Bonolatencyaverage, bono \rangle$	
5	$\langle Bonolatencycount, bono \rangle$	(
6	$\langle Bonolatencyhwm, bono \rangle$	୍
7	$\langle Bonolatencylwm, bono \rangle$	ø
8	$\langle Bonolatency variance, bono \rangle$	- 99 AR
9	$\langle Bonoqueuesizehwm, bono \rangle$	0
10	$\langle Bonoqueuesizelwm, bono \rangle$	<u>9</u> 8
11	$\langle Bonoqueuesizevariance, bono \rangle$	100 100
		ŏ





Causality graph + anomalous KPIs = Evolution of Causality graph

Vertices: KPIs Colored vertices: anomalous KPIs





Scores assigned to vertices in the evolution causality graph

Root cause — most important node in anomalous subgraph

**Ranking Algorithm** 

PageRank



Packet loss injected in sprout Failure alerts + vertex scores



Sprout

#### Case Study



- Fault types: Packet loss, Packet Latency, Packet Corruption
- Workload pattern: low traffic during week end and two peaks for day
- # of Normal Samples: 100
- # of Faulty Samples: 172

#### Can we accurately predict failures?



- Fault types: Packet loss, Packet Latency, Packet Corruption
- Workload pattern: low traffic during week end and two peaks for day
- # of Normal Samples: 100
- # of Faulty Samples: 172

#### Can we accurately localize faults?



- Fault types: Packet loss, Packet Latency, Packet Corruption
- Workload pattern: low traffic during week end and two peaks for day
- # of Normal Samples: 100
- # of Faulty Samples: 172



#### Self-Healing



#### New anomaly detector based on neural network

