Automatic Synthesis of Adaptable and Evolving Choreographies

<u>Marco Autili</u> Massimo Tivoli

Paola Inverardi

University of L'Aquila

Governing Adaptive and Unplanned Systems of Systems

GAUSS Kickoff Meeting 22-23 Feb. 2017 – MILANO BICOCCA

Where do we come from?



Application context

We are in the Future Internet (FI) era



distributed computing environment



8th floor o

The ability to seamlessly compose and coordinate heterogeneous systems is of paramount importance

* European Commission. Digital Agenda for **Europe - Future Internet Research and** Experimentation (FIRE) initiative, 2015



large number of available software systems that can be composed to meet user needs



Composition approaches



Orchestration (centralized)

Local centralized view from the perspective of one participant



Choreography (fully distributed)

Global decentralized view from a multi-participant perspective (albeit without a central controller)

OUR GOAL: automatic architecture synthesis (from specification models to actual code) to support the realization of choreographies by reusing-existing services

Motivations

Building applications by **reusing** services (often black-box)

Composing services in a distributed way



Support for **automation** is needed (time-to-market, correctness by construction, etc.)



Aiding software producers to realize, deploy, execute, and monitor choreography-based systems by reusing existing services

Choreography modelers cooperate each other to set business goals, e.g.,

- assisting travelers from arrival, to staying, to departure





Identify tasks and participants required to achieve the goal, e.g.,

- reserving a taxi from the local taxi company,
- purchasing digital tickets at the train station,
- performing transactions through services based on near field communication in a shop





Specify how participants must collaborate as admissible flows of the identified business tasks through:

- *BPMN2 Choreography* Diagrams



The inventory contains services published by providers, e.g.,

- transportation companies
- airport retailers





Main ingredients of our method

Goal specification Existing services selected as interfaces good candidates to realize the exposed by required business logic concrete services S2 VS **S1** abstract roles modeled by the **S**3 choreography S4 specification **Distributed Business Logic Layer** evolution GAP Dist **Distributed Protocol Coordination Layer**

Choreography coordination

Problem

Automatic enforcement of choreography realizability

 How to externally coordinate the interaction of existing services so to fulfill the global collaboration prescribed by the choreography specification?

Assumption

BPMN2 Choreography Diagrams

• A choreography-based specification of the system to be realized

Our solution

Automated synthesis of Coordination Delegates (CDs)

 Automatically produce the code of additional software entities that proxify and coordinate the services' interaction so to guarantee the specified global collaboration

Focus

Automatically realizing a choreography by reusing and suitably coordinating third-party services

Enforcing choreography realizability

Choreography adaptation

Problem

Enforcement of service-role bindings

- How to externally adapt the interaction of existing services so to "match" the specification of the choreography roles to be played?
- Assumption

LTS-based or BPEL+WSDL-based specification

 A specification of the externally observable behavior of both services and roles in terms of types and sequences of message exchanges

Our solution

(Partially) automated synthesis of Adapters

Produce adapters that mediate the interaction Service ←→ CD

Focus

Realizing correct service-role binding by solving interoperability issues

Enforcing service-role bindings

Adopted architectural style

Standard Communication (e.g., request/response messages)

Additional Communication (coordination information for coordination purposes)

Focusing on adapters generation

• We exploit *Enterprise Integration Patterns* (EIP)

The generated adaptation logic is realized as a **composition of** *Message Routing* **patterns** that realize I/O data mappings

- e.g., adapters are able to
- map message data types
- reorder/merge/split the sequence of operation calls and/or related I/O messages

Considered EIP: Message Routing patterns

Name	Description	Figure
Splitter	It splits a message into several ones, and sends the resulting messages to be processed independently	$\square \longrightarrow \square \longrightarrow \square \longrightarrow \square \square$
Aggregator	It receives multiple messages and combines them into a single message. It is stateful and it must buffer the messages to be aggregated and determine when they are completed	$\blacksquare \ \boxdot \ \longrightarrow \ \Box \ \longrightarrow \ \Box \ \longrightarrow \ \Box \ \blacksquare \ \blacksquare$
Resequencer	It collects and re-orders messages and put them into an output channel in the specified order. Similarly to the Aggregator, it is stateful	$\blacksquare \boxdot \longrightarrow \Box \longrightarrow \Box $
Message Filter	It decides whether a message should be passed along or dropped based on some criteria respect to the header and/or content of the message	$\blacksquare \ \bigcirc \ \longrightarrow \ \bigcirc \ \bigcirc \ \blacksquare \ \blacksquare$

Choreography evolution

Problem

Choreography evolution

 How to enable choreography evolution in response to goal and context changes?

Assumption

Specification of variation points in terms of Call Choreographies

 Each variation point specifies behavioral alternatives in term of (set of) sub-choreographies

Our solution

Automated synthesis of autonomic CDs (aCDs)

 Automatically produce the code of CDs that are now are external controllers realizing multiple interacting feedback loops

Focus

Enabling (a form of) choreography evolution to face wellconfined goals and context changes

Choreography evolution

Adopted architectural style

Conclusions

Put the **bases to support dynamic choreography evolution** in response of goal and context changes

- Separation of concerns between application, coordination, and adaptation logic
- Adapters as a composition of different EIP depending on a notion of I/O data mappings inference (Message Filter, Aggregator, Splitter, and Resequencer)

Relevance of exploiting EIP

- Modular adapters
- Dynamic evolution
- Automatic generation and easier maintenance of adapters' code

Future research directions

→ GAUSS needs

✓ Extension of the approach to deal with **governance issues**

- Multiple systems belonging to different security domains/federations governed by different authorities
- Usage of different identity attributes that are utilized in their access control polices
- How to support dynamic evolution via the automated and on-the-fly synthesis of, e.g., more complex adapters realized by combining additional classes of EIP, e.g.,
 - Message Transformation Patterns such as Content Enricher, Content Filter, and Transformer
 - Semantic interoperability
 - Enabling a finer form of adaptation concerning mismatches at the level of the semantics of the exchanged messages

THANK YOU

